



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14350 OF 2022 IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 55 OF 2012**

Baban Pandurang Karad

Applicant

Versus

Laxman Keru Karad (dead)
through L.Rs & others

Respondents

Mr. S. K. Shinde, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. R. K. Kasat, advocate holding for Mr. A. N. Sikchi,
advocate for Respondents No.1-A and 3 to 5.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 01st March, 2024

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The applicant is seeking permission to bring on record respective legal representatives of respondent no.1 and 6, who, admittedly, died on 11.07.2018 and 06.05.2021, by condoning the respective delay periods of 1448 and 481 days.

The learned Counsel for the applicant pointed out that Respondent No.1-A is also no more and he would take steps in respect of her, separately.

Though the learned Counsel for Respondents

No.1-A and 3 to 5, opposed the application on the ground of delay, but considering the right to sue, the Civil Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clauses “B”, “C” and “D” subject to cost of Rs.2000/- payable to the Library of Advocates’ Association of Bombay High Court at Aurangabad.

Amendment to be carried out within stipulated period and subject to deposit of cost.

Civil Application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3248 OF 2018 IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 55 OF 2012**

Baban Pandurang Karad

Applicant

Versus

Laxman Keru Karad (dead)
through L.Rs & others

Respondents

Mr. S. K. Shinde, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. R. K. Kasat, advocate holding for Mr. A. N. Sikchi,
advocate for Respondents No.1-A and 3 to 5.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 01st March, 2024

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The applicant is seeking permission to bring on record legal representatives of respondent no.9 – Suman Kailas Nagre, who, admittedly, died on 23.03.2012 by condoning the delay of 2074 days.

The learned Counsel for Respondents No.1-A, 3 to 5, though opposed the application on the ground of delay, but considering the right to sue, the Civil Application stands

allowed in terms of prayer clauses “B”, “C” and “D” subject to cost of Rs.3000/- payable to the Library of Advocates’ Association of Bombay High Court at Aurangabad.

Amendment to be carried out within stipulated period and subject to deposit of cost.

Civil Application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 55 OF 2012

Baban Pandurang Karad

Appellant

Versus

Laxman Keru Karad (dead)
through L.Rs & others

Respondents

Mr. S. K. Shinde, advocate for the Appellant
Mr. R. K. Kasat, advocate holding for Mr. A. N. Sikchi,
advocate for Respondents No.1-A and 3 to 5.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 01st March, 2024

PC :

Stand over to 15.03.2024.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb