



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

20 WRIT PETITION NO. 11984 OF 2015

1. NARAYAN GANPATI TAWADE
2. TUKARAM GANPATI TAWADE

VERSUS

1. NANARAO WAMAN TAWADE
2. PRADEEP NANARAO TAWADE
3. GODAWRIBAI NANARAO TAWADE
4. VIJAY NANARAO TAWADE

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. A.S. Deshmukh
h/f. Mr. Arvind Deshmukh

Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 : Mr. S.S. Jangada
h/f. Mr. Sachin S. Deshmukh

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 22 APRIL 2024

Per Court :

1. Learned counsel Mr. Deshmukh holding for Mr. Arvind Deshmukh for the petitioners informs that his clients wanted to examine translator and, therefore, exhibit 111 in RCS No. 15 of 2006 was submitted. By the impugned order, it was rejected. The witness to be examined is no more.

2. Writ Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

3. Petitioners are at liberty to file application for examining witnesses as permissible in law. Trial Court is expected to deal with application in accordance with law.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)