

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**3 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10173 OF 2024  
IN SAST/24514/2024**

**WITH  
SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 24514 OF 2024  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10174 OF 2024  
IN SAST/24514/2024**

**KESHARBAI W/O TULSHIRAM GAIKWAD DIED THROUGH  
LRS KESHAV TULSHIRAM GAIKWAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
DWARAKABAI W/O RAMDAS TUPE**

...

**Mr. P.P. Dawalkar, Advocate for appellants/applicants.  
Mr. P.P. Kothari, Advocate for the respondent.**

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.**

**DATED : 23 SEPTEMBER 2024**

**ORDER:-**

**IN CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10173 OF 2024 :**

1. The present second appeal is filed alongwith the delay condonation application. But during the pendency the parties have arrived at amicable settlement. As such, the delay condonation application i.e. Civil Application NO. 10173 of 2024 stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (B).

**IN SECOND APPEAL (ST) NO. 24514 OF 2024 WITH CA NO. 10174 OF 2024 :**

2. The parties have already entered into compromise terms identified as "X". Those compromise terms vide order dated

19.09.2024 were referred to the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court for verification of the contents. Accordingly, Registrar (Judicial) submitted separate report dated 19.09.2024 mentioning that the parties have voluntarily entered into those compromise terms.

3. Therefore, the compromise terms identified as “X”, are accepted and the appeal is disposed of in terms of those compromise terms. Decree be drawn in terms of those compromise terms.

4. Pending Civil Applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)**