



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

951 WRIT PETITION NO. 10571 OF 2019

SUDHAKAR SHIVANNA SATLAWAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Vibhute Sunil M., Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. P. J. Bharad, AGP for Respondents.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL

&

PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.

DATE : 18th December, 2024

Per Court :-

The petitioner is challenging the judgment and order refusing to validate the 'Mannervarlu' schedule tribe certificate.

2. The impugned order is a common in the matter of the petitioner and his son, Pranay. Pranay had put up a challenge to the selfsame judgment and order in Writ Petition No.10572 of 2019, by the order dated 21st December 2020 he was held entitled to have a certificate of validity and the validity was made coterminous with the validity of blood relatives which the committee had decided

to re-open.

3. No separate scrutiny of the judgment and order is legally possible. The present Writ Petition is allowed for the same reasons as have been recorded in the matter of *Pranay s/o Sudhakar Satlawar vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.*

ORDER

A. The writ petition is partly allowed.

B. The impugned judgment and order dated 08/08/2019 passed by the respondent No.2/Committee to the extent of the petitioner is quashed and set aside.

C. The respondent No.2/committee shall issue validity certificates to the petitioners as belonging to 'Mannervarlu' (Scheduled Tribe) immediately in prescribed format without incorporating any condition.

D. These validity certificates shall be subject to the outcome of the reverification of the validity certificates undertaken by the committee.

E. The petitioners shall not claim any equities.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.) (MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

AGNarwade