



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

915 WRIT PETITION NO. 9974 OF 2024

Gangadhar Dhondiram Mahajan

....Petitioner

VERSUS

The Chairman Central Bank of India & othersRespondents

Mr. V. M. Maney, Advocate holding for Mr. A. N. Irpatgire, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Warad, Advocate for Respondent No. 1/Bank.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 13th SEPTEMBER, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. The Petitioner desires that this Court should issue a Writ of Mandamus to the Judge of the Labour Court at Latur, for deciding Application IDA No. 16/2018, out of turn.

2. In our view, all litigants are equal in the eyes of law. All of them are waiting in queue for a decision in their matters. Unless the matter is more than 10 or 15 years old, a Writ of Mandamus would not be issued to a Judge. In old matter, orders on the Administrative side can be passed. Merely because the Petitioner could approach this Court, does not mean that this Court should pass an order for

deciding the pending proceeding, out of turn, when all other litigants are waiting for an adjudication in their cases.

3. In view of the above, **this Writ Petition is disposed off.**

4. Needless to state, as and when the turn of the Petitioner's case lodged in 2018 would arrive, we are sure that the Labour Court would deal with the said proceeding.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE

dyb