



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909 WRIT PETITION NO. 9353 OF 2024

PRASAD MAROTI AGASHE

VERSUS

MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CO. LTD THROUGH ITS
SUPERINTENDENT ENGINEER AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Biradar Ramrao Dhondiram

Advocate for Respondents 1 to 3 : Mr. A.R. Salve

Advocate for Respondent 4 : Mr. Arun Shejwal

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

Dated : September 20, 2024

PER COURT :-

1. Mr. A.R. Salve, learned counsel appearing for respondent/MSEDCL submits that the petitioner can be accommodated at Latur Urban Division, Unit 1, Latur. The learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection for the same.

2. In view of the same, the writ petition is disposed of by accepting the statement of the learned counsel for respondent/MSEDCL. However, the statement would not prohibit the MSEDCL to further transfer the petitioner on administrative grounds. It is further clarified that if any complaints are received against the petitioner, the MSEDCL would be entitled to take administrative action including transfer of petitioner as may be available in law.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

ssc/