



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

910 SECOND APPEAL NO. 889 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8733 OF 2020 IN SA/890/2016
WITH
SECOND APPEAL NO. 890 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16283 OF 2016 IN SA/890/2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16282 OF 2016 IN SA/889/2016

SANTOSH SAYANNA KYATAMWAR
VERSUS
SHALINIBAI DATTATRAYA DIPAK AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Anand Dale h/f. Mr. S.S. Rathi – Advocate for Appellant
Mr. D.M. Shinde – Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 3, 5 and
6

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 22nd February, 2024

PER COURT :

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8733 OF 2020

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicants are seeking permission to bring themselves on record being the legal representatives of appellant sole i.e. Sandhya Santosh Kyatmwar who admittedly

died on 14.10.2019, by condoning the delay of 63 days.

3. The learned Counsel for respondents raised strong objection. However, considering the right to sue the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clauses 'B' and 'C' subject to cost of Rs.500/- (rupees Five Hundreds only) to be paid to the Library, Advocates Association of High Court, Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.

4. After payment of cost the amendment be carried out.

5. The applications is accordingly disposed of.

6. List the Second Appeal No.889 of 2016 alongwith Second Appeal No.890 of 2016, Civil Application No.16283 of 2016 and Civil Application No.16282 of 2016 on 7th March, 2024.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE