



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14040 OF 2022
IN FAST/24160/2022**

ARUNABAI W/O PANDHURANG SHEWALE

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA
AND ORS**

...

Mr. Shubham M. Kakde and Mr. D. M. Kakade, Advocate for
Applicant.

Mr. V. S. Badakh, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mrs. M. S. Jagtap (absent), Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15825 OF 2022
IN FAST/24128/2022**

NARAYAN UTTAMRAO KHARABE

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA
AND ORS**

...

Mr. Shubham M. Kakde and Mr. D. M. Kakade, Advocate for
Applicant.

R. R. Tandale, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15826 OF 2022
IN FAST/24165/2022**

**GANGARAM S/O YADA KHARABE (DIED) THR LRS
RAMPRASAD AND ANR**

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA
AND ORS**

...

Mr. Shubham M. Kakde and Mr. D. M. Kakade, Advocate for
Applicant.

Mr. A. V. Lavte, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mrs. M. S. Jagtap (absent), Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16460 OF 2022
IN FAST/25672/2022**

SUBHASH LAXMANRAO BHAGAS AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
PARBHANI AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Shubham M. Kakde and Mr. D. M. Kakade, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. P. D. Patil, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. B. A. Shinde (absent), Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16801 OF 2022
IN FAST/27316/2022**

DATTA SHYAMRAO KHARABE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA
AND ORS

...

Mr. Shubham M. Kakde and Mr. D. M. Kakade, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. K. S. Patil, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. V. P. Deshmukh (absent), Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

**CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.
DATED : 20th AUGUST, 2024.**

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the respective parties.
2. None appears for respondent no.3.
3. The contents of the applications are not controverted by filing affidavit-in-reply.
4. Considering the submissions advanced and averments in the application, case is made out to condone the delay subject to condition that applicants file undertaking that they shall not claim

interest for the delayed period, in case Appeals for enhancement are favourably considered.

5. In that view of the matter, Civil Applications are allowed in term of prayer Clause (A) subject to condition that applicants furnish usual undertaking to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court as indicated above.

6. Civil Applications are disposed of.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
JUDGE

Devendra/August-2024