



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 333 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2553 OF 2021**

Balaji Madhavrao Sontakke
and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

Abhinav Goyal Existing C.E.O.
The Chief Executive Officer and another .. Respondents

Ms. Megha Male, Advocate h/f Shri Shivkumar K. mathpati,
Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri V. K. Kotecha, A.G.P. for the Respondent/State.
Shri P. R. Tandale, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.
DATE : 28 MARCH 2024.**

FINAL ORDER :

. Learned advocate for the respondent/Zilla Parishad, Latur tenders across the bar copy of communication addressed to the Registrar of this Court together with order dated 19.03.2024. Out of seven petitioners, only petitioner No. 1/Balaji Madhavrao Sontakke has been held to be entitled to the benefit of one advance increment and appropriate order has been passed on 19.03.2024. Rest of the petitioners have been held to be not entitled to derive the benefit and separate orders have been passed and are being communicated to them. Copy of the

communication along with order dated 19.03.2024 is taken on record.

2. In the light of the above, nothing survives in the contempt petition. Contempt petition is disposed of. Needless to state the petitioners who are aggrieved by the order passed by the respondent/Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Latur would have every remedy to challenge the order independently.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.] [MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

bsb/March24