



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909 WRIT PETITION NO. 8944 OF 2024

M. MOIDDIN SAHAB URDU EDUCATION SOCIETY
SULTANPUR THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for petitioner : Mr. Sushant V. Dixit
Addl. GP for the respondent – State : Mr. A.R. Kale
Advocate for respondent no. 2 : Mr. K.M. Suryawanshi

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 27 AUGUST 2024

PC :

Mr. K.M. Suryawanshi submits that he has instructions to appear on behalf of respondent no. 2.

2. Petitioner – institution is aggrieved by the fact that in spite of the positive recommendation by the respondent no. 2 – University in the light of section 109(4) of the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2015, ('Act'), it has not been communicated anything either grant or refusal of the permission, although a general government resolution dated 15-07-2024 has been issued in respect of the other institutes for the academic year 2024-25.

3. On the last date, we had requested learned AGP to solicit instructions as to what has happened about the petitioner's proposal

for starting faculty of B.Sc. from the academic year 2024-25. He submits that he is still to get the instructions.

4. We dispose of the writ petition by directing respondents no. 1 and 3 to communicate to the petitioner if any decision has been taken by the state government pursuant to the recommendation, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within one week.

5. If no decision as yet has been taken, it shall be taken within two weeks under intimation to the petitioner.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/