



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

924 WRIT PETITION NO. 9469 OF 2023

SURAJ BALASAHEB GAMBHIRE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. Datta A. Madake.

AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : Mr. R. K. Ingole.

Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 16 & 18 : Mr. Vikram Shivaji Undre.

Advocate for Respondent No.19 : Mr. P. V. Salve.

...

CORAM : **KISHORE C. SANT, J.**

DATE : 22nd October, 2024.

P.C.:

. Heard the learned counsels of the parties for some time.

2 After hearing the learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that since the SDO has passed the order under the MLR Code, the petitioners have efficacious and alternative remedy by way of filing proceedings before the Collector.

3 In view of the same, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition. However, since there was stay granted by this Court earlier, the same shall continue for a further period of four weeks from today, in which period, the petitioners shall approach the Collector and apply for interim relief.

4 With this, the writ petition stands disposed of.

5 It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits of this petition.

6 The Collector to decide the proceedings, if any filed by the petitioners, within four months thereafter.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]

nga