



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9436 OF 2024

Ishwar Bapu Londhe
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others

...
Advocate for petitioner : Mr. A.N. Sabnis along with Mr. Pranav Dhakne
AGP for the respondents no. 1 and 2 : Ms. Neha Kamble
Advocate for the respondent no. 3 : Mr. N.T. Tribhuwan h/f. Mr. A.D. Wange
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 2 DECEMBER 2024

ORDER (MANGESH S. PATIL, J.) :

Motion is made for speaking to minutes dated 25-11-2024.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that he was challenging the order of respondent – committee, invalidating his tribe claim, so also the order passed by respondent no. 3, terminating his employment on the ground of invalidation. He points out that though the writ petition was allowed, no relief in respect of the order passed by respondent no. 3 of termination finds place in the order.

3. Learned advocate Mr. Tribhuwan h/f. Mr. Wange for respondent no. 3 concedes the position.

4. In the light of above, following paragraph shall be added at the end of the order dated 25-11-2024 :

“14. The order of termination passed by respondent no. 3 dated 12-07-2024 (**Exhibit – D**) is also quashed and set aside.”

5. Motion is disposed of.

[PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/