



- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

914 WRIT PETITION NO. 8726 OF 2024

BALAJI BALIRAM MAILAGIRE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

Mr.S.D.Tekwad, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr.S.K.Tambe, AGP for the Respondent/State.
Mr.D.S.Bagul, Advocate for the Respondent/MSRTC.

**(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y.G.KHOBRADE, JJ.)**

DATE : AUGUST 22, 2024

PER COURT :

1. We have heard the learned Advocate for the Petitioners and the learned Advocate for the MSRTC. Both the Petitioners are social workers. They have preferred this Writ Petition.

2. Having heard the learned Advocate for the MSRTC, without going into the aspect of *locus-standi* of these 2 Petitioners, **this Writ Petition is disposed off** in view of the statement of the learned Advocate for the MSRTC that the construction of the new bus stand, which is about 1 kms away from the old bus stand, has been

undertaken and as per the time period mentioned in the tender, the construction will be completed. In so far as the old bus stand is concerned, he submits that the same is in good condition and the MSRTC has not come out with any plans for any type of renovation.

(Y.G.KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)