



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8437 OF 2024

Suryakant Datta Wankhede and another .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri V. S. Panpatte, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri S. P. Joshi, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 08 AUGUST, 2024.

FINAL ORDER (Per Shailesh P. Brahme, J.) :-

. Heard.

2. Petitioners' proposals seeking approval to their appointments came to be rejected by the respondent No. 2/Deputy Director of Education, Latur Division, Latur vide communication/orders dated 28.11.2023 and 28.12.2023 respectively only on the ground that the procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution dated 23.06.2017 was not followed.

3. We have already taken a view by **order dated 10.06.2024 in Writ Petition No. 13150 of 2022** in the matter of **Shaikh Jaweria Khadarsab Vs. The State of Maharashtra and**

others that the Education Officer/Deputy Director of Education has to conduct objective scrutiny of the proposal and it cannot be rejected only on the ground that procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution was not followed.

4. In that view of the matter, we dispose of the petition by quashing the communication/orders dated 28.11.2023 and 28.12.2023 and directing the respondent No. 2/Deputy Director of Education to reconsider the proposals of the petitioners for grant of approval to their appointments on their own merits. The decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four (04) weeks from today. However, same shall not be rejected on the grounds mentioned in the impugned communication.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.] [MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

bsb/Aug. 24