



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**906 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 17406 OF 2022
IN CRAFT/22190/2022**

TUKARAM DADARAO BANSODE (DHANGAR)

VERSUS

KHANDU DADARAO BANSODE (DHANGAR) AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Patil Vikram Tanajirao, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Shaikh Ashraf Patel h/f Mr. Abhijit P. Avhad, Advocate for
Respondent No.1

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 22/03/2024.

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicant is seeking permission to condone the delay of 96 days in filing civil revision application. The reason for delay appears from the application is that the applicant resides at remote place and therefore, could not make contact with his advocate.
3. The learned counsel for the respondent strongly opposed the application. However, for the reasons stated in the application and the short period of delay, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause B subject to cost of Rs.500/- to be paid to the Advocate Bar Library, High Court, Aurangabad .

4. The civil revision application of the applicant be registered after removal of office objections, if any and on payment of cost.
5. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

VS Maind/-