



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

20 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8702 OF 2024
IN SECOND APPEAL (ST) NO. 21209 OF 2024

SHAIKH ZAMIR S/O SHAIKH PAPA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
NOOR MOHAMMAD S/O KHAN MOHAMMAD AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Akshay D. Karni – Advocate for Applicants

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 7th October, 2024

PER COURT :

1. Leave to correct prayer clause 'b' in respect of the period of delay.
2. Heard learned Counsel for the applicants. Though the respondents are served but only respondent No. 6 has appeared through Advocate. Both the Counsel of respondent No. 6 despite appearance remained absent. Learned Counsel for respondent No. 6 have filed pursis dated 06.09.2024 seeking hard copies of the documents. However, learned Counsel for the applicants submits that, after adopting online filing procedure he has already served them soft copies of the documents as per rules.

3. The application appears to be filed for condonation of delay of only 67 days in filing the Second Appeal. The application is unopposed and considering the short period of delay it stands allowed in terms prayer clause 'b' only and disposed of accordingly.

4. The Second Appeal be registered after removal of office objections, if any.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE