



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

910 WRIT PETITION NO. 8918 OF 2023

CHANDRASHEKSHAR VIJAY TAWDE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Shri N.L. Chaudhari, Advocate for the Petitioners
Shri P.K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondents 1 to 3/State
...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
R.M. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE :- 01st March, 2024

Per Court :-

1. Leave to correct the description of Respondent No.1.
Correction be carried out forthwith.
2. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits
that no prayer is made against Respondent No.5 and it is
inconsequential that Respondent No.5 is yet to be served. The
Petitioners are seeking directions only against Respondent No.1.
3. The only prayer canvassed by the Petitioners before
us is that as Respondent No.3 has sought guidance from
Respondent No.1, vide the communication dated 25.05.2022,
pursuant to which, the decision on payment of salary of the

Petitioners would be taken by Respondent No.3, this Court may direct Respondent No.1 to convey his advise to Respondent No.3.

4. We are surprised that Respondent No.1 is sitting over the request of Respondent No.3 since May, 2022. We, therefore, direct Respondent No.1 to communicate his advise to Respondent No.3 within 30 days from today. Thereafter, Respondent No.3 would proceed to take a decision on the claim of the Petitioners within a period of 45 days.

5. **The Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed off.**

kps

(R.M. JOSHI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)