



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8216 OF 2024
IN WP/4500/2024**

**NIMAJ VILLAGE PANCHAYAT THROUGH VILLAGE
DEVELOPMENT OFFICER RAMNATH MARUTI MARUNJKAR AND
ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

....

Mr. R. L. Kute, Advocate for the Applicants
Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5
Mr. Vinod Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.6
Mr. K. N. Shermale, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 7 and 8

....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 27.09.2024

PER COURT :-

1. Heard.
2. Since the Respondents oppose the amendment and on perusal of the grounds set out for seeking leave to amend, we are of the view that an opportunity to the Respondents to file an comprehensive affidavit-in-reply post amendment, would protect

the interests of the Respondents. The leave to amend would also enable the Petitioner to put forth his best case.

3. In view of the above, **the Civil Application is allowed**. The proposed amendment be carried out, on or before 15.10.2024.

4. Considering the magnitude of the amendment, a freshly typed amended memo of the Writ Petition shall be filed simultaneously and the copies would be served on the Respondents.

5. The learned Advocate representing Respondent Nos. 7 and 8 (proposed Respondents), waives service of notice in the Writ Petition and submits that he would file the affidavit-in-reply on or before 25.10.2024.

6. Respondent Nos. 9, 10 and 11 are served. No appearance caused.

[Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

SMS