



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

921 WRIT PETITION NO. 9309 OF 2024

MANOHAR BALGRUHA TULJAPUR THROUGH
ITS SECRETARY JYOTI PRAMOD PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for petitioner : Mr. Vinod B. Jadhav
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. S.R. Yadav - Lonikar
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 29 AUGUST 2024

PC :

The petitioner who has been running a child care home under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000, is awaiting renewal of the licence under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that nothing has been communicated in turn. Some defects were pointed out, even those were complied with and till date, there is no decision and the directions be given in the light of the directions given in the matter of ***Mother Teresa Balakshram Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others*** (writ petition no. 7821 of 2021 and connected matters decided on 08-09-2022).

3. The writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to take appropriate steps and process the petitioner's proposal in accordance with law and in terms of the direction given in ***Mother Teresa Balakashram*** (supra) by giving it an opportunity of hearing and if necessary for complying with the shortcomings, if any.

4. The decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible and in any case within eight (8) weeks.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/