



IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

30 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 689 OF 2024
IN WP/2712/2024

MANGESH S/O SHANKAR LIMJE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

.....

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Datta Govindrao Nagode

AGP for Respondent-State : Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, JJ.

Dated : 05 August 2024

PER COURT :-

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the present petition, the concerned authority has decided the representations and those have been rejected.

2. As regards the Contempt Petition is concerned, we are concerned with only the non-compliance of the order. Since there is compliance, that means, there is a decision on the representation, then, this Contempt Petition deserves to be disposed of. In view of rejection of the representation, if any cause of action has arisen for the petitioner, he is at liberty to exhaust the remedy. With these observations, the Contempt Petition stands disposed off.

(ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)