



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**31 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13517 OF 2016
IN CRA/15/2015**

M/S SIDDHI VINAYAK ENTERPRISES AND OTHERS
VERSUS
M/S R.K CONSTRO PROJECTS PVT. LTD THROUGH ITS
DIRECTOR SAMIR CHANDRAKANT MEHATA

...
Mr. Goyanka M. K., Advocate for Applicants
Mr. Sanjay S. Dudhane h/f Mr. V. R. Dhorde, Advocate for
Respondent

....
CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 18/06/2024.

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. Though the learned counsel, holding for Mr. Dhorde, submits that papers are returned back to the respondent, but it appears that Mr. Dhorde had not filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent.
3. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the main suit is still pending and the civil revision application, which the present applicants intend to restore, is filed against the rejection of their application under Order-7 Rule-11 of CPC.
4. In view of the same and in the interest of justice, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause-B and disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)