



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

903 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2316 OF 2019

MAYUR SURESH RANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

...
Mr. A. M. Salok, Advocate for Applicant. **(Absent)**
Mr. V. K. Kotecha, APP for Respondent No.1/State.
...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.
DATE : 15th OCTOBER 2024

ORDER :

- . Learned Advocate for the applicant is absent.
2. When the matter was on board on 03.10.2024, it was pointed out by learned APP that charge-sheet is filed before the Trial Court and now the Non Bailable warrant has been issued against the present applicant, who is accused No.4. Today, learned APP is producing the Roznama/Daily Status from ECourts Services showing that warrant has been issued against the present applicant. It appears that it is issued against other accused persons also. Even on the last occasion, we had expressed that if the present applicant is not appearing before the

concerned Court and warrant is issued against the applicant, then this Court may not exercise its powers under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in favour of the applicant. Under the pretext of/guise of filing the present application, the applicant cannot remain absent before the concerned Court and, therefore, we dismiss the application.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm