



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

935 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10172 OF 2016
IN SECOND APPEAL NO. 96 OF 1994

SHIVRAJ LINGAPPA INDE
VERSUS
JAYKUMAR MANIKLAL GANDHI AND OTHERS

...
Mr. P.P. Mandlik h/f. Mr. A.S. Gandhi – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. V.D. Gunale – Advocate for Respondent Nos.1/1 to 1/4
....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 28th March, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. Though the application is for various prayers but out of those prayers this Court vide order dated 5th August, 2016, has already allowed in terms of prayer clauses 'B ' and 'F' long back. The legal representatives of respondent No.9 despite service of notice failed to appear. The appeal is too old and requires urgent consideration.
3. In view of the same, the application is now allowed in terms of prayer clause 'D' and 'E' and accordingly disposed of.

4. Necessary correction be carried out within stipulated period.

5. List the Second Appeal No.96 of 1994 on 12th April, 2024.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE