



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 648 OF 2024

**SUNIL DEVRAM CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
DEODATTA RANE AND ANOTHER**

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Adgaonkar R.P.
AGP for Respondent/State : Ms. R.P. Gaur
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. V.D. Gunale
...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
ROHIT W. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 19.12.2024.

PER COURT :

1. Not on board. After mentioning, taken on board.
2. The matter was on board yesterday and in fact respondent No. 3 was served, yet remain absent, therefore, a Bailable Warrant was issued.
3. Learned Advocate Mr. Gunale, appearing for respondent No. 3 tenders unconditional apology for not filing a

separate application for cancellation of Bailable Warrant and he submits that the order has been complied with. In fact, we are not happy with the reason that has been given for the absence of respondent No. 3 or not making an arrangement for representing respondent No. 3 by a Competent Advocate. However, since now it is stated that the order has been complied with, the order of directing a warrant to be issued to respondent No. 3 stands cancelled.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner is present and he accepts that the order has been complied with. In view of the same, the petition stands disposed of, as there is no necessity to take any further action under the Contempt of Court's Act.

(ROHIT W. JOSHI)
JUDGE

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

mahajansb/