



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6838 OF 2024
IN RAST/18585/2024**

**AVINASH RAMDAS NANDEDKAR
VERSUS**

**M/S SHIRISH AND COMPANY REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER SHIRISH
DALICHAND OSWAL AND OTHERS**

.....

Mr. A. D. Shinde, Advocate for the for Applicant
Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Advocate i/b Mr. R. M. Deshmukh, Advocate
for Respondent No.1
Mr. P. N. Kutti, Advocate for Applicant/Intervenor

WITH

**REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 64 OF 2024
IN WP/3416/2024**

**M.S SHIRISH AND COMPANY REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER
VERSUS**

CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR, H.P. CORPORATION AND ORS

.....

Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Advocate i/b Mr. R. M. Deshmukh, Advocate
for the applicant

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4607 OF 2024
IN RA/64/2024**

**M.S SHIRISH AND COMPANY REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER
VERSUS**

CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR, H.P. CORPORATION AND ORS

.....

Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Advocate i/b Mr. R. M. Deshmukh, Advocate
for the applicant

WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5103 OF 2024
IN RA/64/2024**

PARESH PRALHAD SURVE
VERSUS

M.S SHIRISH AND COMPANY REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER

Mr. P. N. Kutti, Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Advocate i/b Mr. R. M. Deshmukh, Advocate
for Respondent No.1

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5101 OF 2024
IN RA/64/2024

AVINASH RAMDAS NANDEDKAR
VERSUS

M.S SHIRISH AND COMPANY REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER

Mr. A. D. Shinde, Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Advocate i/b Mr. R. M. Deshmukh, Advocate
for Respondent No.1

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
R. M. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : 12th JULY, 2024

PER COURT :-

ORDER BELOW CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6838 OF 2024

1. Heard the learned Advocates for the respective sides. The respondent opposed the condonation of 67 days delay.
2. Considering that the delay is neither inordinate nor deliberate and keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Collector Land Acquisition, Anantnag Vs. Mst. Katiji*, AIR 1987 SC 1353 and *Esha Bhattacharjee Vs. Managing Committee of Reghunathpur Nafar Academy*, (2013) 12 SCC 649, the delay of 67 days deserves to be

condoned.

3. **The Civil Application to the extent of condonation of delay is allowed.** Delay is condoned.

4. Since both the sides have filed Review Applications, the present Review Application be registered and be listed along with Review Application No. 64 of 2024 on 26th July, 2024.

ORDER BELOW CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4607 OF 2024

5. We have heard learned Advocates for the respective sides. The Applicant desires to place documents on record.

6. The non-Applicants opposed.

7. Considering the reasons assigned, **the Civil Application is allowed.** The documents be brought on record with proper pagination in continuation.

**ORDER BELOW CIVIL APPLICATION NOS. 5103 of 2024
AND 5101 OF 2024**

8. The rival parties have filed these applications for seeking intervention in Review Application No. 64 of 2024. In view of the same, **both these intervention applications are allowed.** The Applicants be arrayed as Respondents in Review Application No. 64 of 2024.

9. Stand over to 26th July, 2024 for a hearing on Review Application No. 64 of 2024 and Review Application (Stamp) No. 18585 of 2024.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

ssp