



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**21 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7385 OF 2024
IN SAST/18246/2024**

NITIN VITTHAL CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
NARESH TAPIRAM MALI AND ORS

...
Mr. Shah Subodh P., Advocate for Applicant
Mr. L. S. Mahajan, Advocate for Respondent No.1
....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 22/07/2024.

P. C. :

1. Leave to mention the delay period in the prayer clause.
2. The learned counsel Mr. L. S. Mahajan causes appearance on behalf of respondent No.1.
3. Heard rival submissions.
4. The applicant / appellant is seeking condonation of delay of only 33 days in filing second appeal. Though the application is opposed but considering the short period of delay, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause-A and disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)