



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

26 WRIT PETITION NO. 9785 OF 2024

SHIVANAND NARAYANRAO KANTHALE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS CO
OPERATIVE MARKETING AND TEXTILE DEPARTMENT
AND ANOTHER

...

AND

43 WRIT PETITION NO. 9827 OF 2024

ABHIJEET BHIMRAO CHOUDHARI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS CO
OPERATIVE MARKETING AND ANOTHER

...

AND

44 WRIT PETITION NO. 9828 OF 2024

DNYANESHWAR TUKARAM RAUT

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS CO
OPERATIVE MARKETING AND TEXTILE DEPARTMENT
AND ANOTHER

...

AND

46 WRIT PETITION NO. 9833 OF 2024

GANGADHAR MAHADEV LIKHE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS CO
OPERATIVE MARKETING AND TEXTILE DEPARTMENT
AND ANOTHER

...

AND

49 WRIT PETITION NO. 9842 OF 2024

PINTU RAMBHAU CHAVAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS CO
OPERATIVE MARKETING AND TEXTILE DEPARTMENT
AND ANOTHER

...

Shri Pravin N. Kalani, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri S.R. Wakale, Shri S.K. Tambe, Shri M.M. Nerlikar and Shri
N.S. Tekale, AGPs for Respondent No.1/State, in the concerned
petitions.

Shri Vishal Bagal Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE :- 09th September, 2024

Per Court :-

1. The learned AGPs point out that the State Government is completely unconnected with these matters. It is neither a necessary party, nor an answering Respondent. The dispute is between the Petitioner and Respondent No.2, which is the Dekhreh Cooperative Society.

2. The learned Advocate Shri Bagal submits, on instructions, that these Writ Petitions cannot be maintained in the Writ Jurisdiction of this Court. The learned Advocate for the

Petitioners is unable to convince us that the Respondent is a 'State' within Article 12 of the Constitution of India.

3. In a group of cases filed earlier by other Petitioners [Writ Petition No.2701/2024 (*Nandkishor Dagdoba Kale vs. The State of Maharashtra and another*) and other connected petitions], the parties had settled their dispute outside the Court. Hence, we had permitted the Petitioners to withdraw the Petitions, vide our order dated 11.03.2024.

4. In view of the above, **these Writ Petitions are disposed off.**

kps

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)