



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

925 WRIT PETITION NO. 8168 OF 2023

**I. C. I. C. I. GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD ADITYA
BIRLA BROKERS LTD THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED
SIGNATORY**

VERSUS

NIRMALA DILIP BEHERE AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the petitioner : Adv.A.G.Chaudhari
Advocate for Respondent nos.1 and 2 : Adv.A.D.Pawar
Advocate for respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Adv.C.T.Jadhav

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 15.03.2024

P.C. :

1] Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the evidence is already taken in the case of the pillion rider and the same evidence would also be considered in the claim petition of the rider. The claim petition of the pillion rider is partly satisfied by the Tribunal.

3] It is informed that in the same accident, rider and pillion rider had expired. In the claim petition of the pillion rider, the Investigating Officer is examined during pendency of the present writ petition. The evidence, which

was considered in the claim petition of the pillion rider, can also be considered in the claim petition of the rider.

4] In view of the above, the present Writ Petition is disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

DDC