



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

919 WRIT PETITION NO. 5962 OF 2024

**SUNITABAI BHANUDAS JOSHI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF
URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND OTHERS**

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 5963 OF 2024

**SUNITABAI BHANUDAS JOSHI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF
URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND OTHERS**

921 WRIT PETITION NO. 5972 OF 2024

**SUNITABAI BHANUDAS JOSHI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF
URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND OTHERS**

....

Mr. D. P. Palodkar, Advocate for Petitioners

Mr. R. K. Ingole, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 8

Mr. V. P. Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 5 to 7

....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 09.07.2024

PER COURT :-

1. The learned Advocate representing the CIDCO, places on record a compilation of documents (8 pages), indicating that

the lands which are subject matter of these Writ Petitions, have been de-notified from CIDCO. This indicates that CIDCO does not desire to acquire these lands. The said compilation is collectively marked as “X-1” for identification. As such, the reservation has lapsed.

2. In view of the above, **these Writ Petitions are disposed off** with a direction to Respondent No.2 to issue the notification under Section 127(2) of the M.R.T.P Act, within a period of 75 days.

3. Needless to state, if the Model Code of Conduct is made applicable, that would not be an impediment for issuing such notification.

[Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

SMS