

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

954 WRIT PETITION NO. 3107 OF 2020

LALCHAND DEOCHAND TATU

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. R. S. Wani, AGP for Respondents/State.

Mr. Anand P, Bhandari, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL

&

PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.

DATE : 18th December, 2024

Per Court :-

The learned Advocate for the respondent-corporation tenders across the bar written communication with the photographs and submits that the statue has been erected as was prayed for by the petitioner.

2. The written instructions are taken on record and marked "X" for the purpose of identification.

3. None is present for the Petitioner.

4. However, considering the fact that the only prayer in

the Petition stands satisfied by virtue of erection of the statue, nothing survives. Petition is disposed of.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.) (MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

AGNarwade