



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

8 WRIT PETITION NO. 9995 OF 2023

RAHUL DNYANDEO MAGHADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND ANOTHER
AND

9 WRIT PETITION NO. 9996 OF 2023

NITESH SUNDARLAL NADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND ANOTHER
AND

10 WRIT PETITION NO. 10012 OF 2023

AMOL DINKAR JADHAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Sachin Deshmukh h/for Mr. H.S. Bali Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. B. M. Dhanure, AGP for Respondents State
Mr. S. A. Jadhav h/for Mrs. Anjali Dube, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 29th July, 2024

ORDER:

1. These matters were heard for some time.
2. There are several disputed factors, inasmuch as, the Petitioners need to prove that their father/mother/ relative were working as Safai Kamgar on the basis of which compassionate appointment is sought in the light of the Lad-Page Committee

recommendations (Vashila Paddhat). The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits on instructions that the Petitioners would raise an industrial dispute/approach the Industrial Court, for the redressal of their grievance, either under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 or the MRTU & PULP Act, 1971.

3. In view of the above statement, **these Writ Petitions are disposed off.** This disposal would not be an impediment for the Petitioners to raise their grievance before the appropriate forum.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

JPCChavan