



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

959 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2024

SACHIN UTTAM LONDHE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

.....

Mr. Rahul R. Karpe, Advocate for Applicant
Mr. B. A. Shinde, APP for the respondent/State

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.
DATE : 13th DECEMBER, 2024

PER COURT :-

. Application filed by the present applicant for anticipatory bail came to be rejected by Sessions Judge in connection with Crime No. 253/2024. The very same Sessions Judge in Cri. M.A. No. 242/2024 in respect of co-accused has made observations that the offence punishable under Section 436 of the Indian Penal Code is not *prima facie* attracted to the case in hand as there was fire in the poultry farm. He grants anticipatory bail to the said co-accused, however, rejects the application of present applicant. The said observations are more than sufficient to allow the present application. Hence, application stands allowed in terms of interim order dated 15.05.2024.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp