



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7240 OF 2016 IN FAST/31081/2015

**Babulal Bacchulal Jaiswal Died Through L.Rs.Bhartibai And
Others**

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8307 OF 2017 IN FAST/16472/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8580 OF 2017 IN FAST/16163/2017

WITH CA/8581/17 IN FAST/16655/17

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8586 OF 2017 IN FAST/14168/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13127 OF 2017 IN FAST/17199/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13136 OF 2017 IN FAST/17280/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13150 OF 2017 IN FAST/17266/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13151 OF 2017 IN FAST/17208/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13216 OF 2017 IN FAST/16681/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14039 OF 2017 IN FAST/16671/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14067 OF 2017 IN FAST/16185/2017

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14069 OF 2017 IN FAST/16171/2017

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14066 OF 2017 IN FAST/16174/2017

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14068 OF 2017 IN FAST/16179/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1071 OF 2018 IN FAST/26188/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1077 OF 2018 IN FAST/15258/2017

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1075 OF 2018 IN FAST/15263/2017

WITH CA/1076/18 IN FAST/15261/17

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1145 OF 2018 IN FAST/27573/2017

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1386 OF 2018 IN FAST/26173/2017

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1967 OF 2018 IN FAST/26303/2017
 AND
 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2358 OF 2018 IN FAST/30817/2017
 AND
 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2873 OF 2018 IN FAST/15253/2017
 WITH
 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2872 OF 2018 IN FAST/15256/2017
 AND
 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3134 OF 2018 IN FAST/31414/2017
 AND
 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5828 OF 2018 IN FAST/11242/2017
 AND
 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5829 OF 2018 IN FAST/11707/2017

.....
 Ms. Sakshi Kale, Advocate h/f Mr. A.B. Kale, Advocate for
 Applicants
 Ms. Chaitali Kutti Choudhary, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]
 DATE : 30th AUGUST, 2024

ORDER :

1. Heard.
2. These are the applications filed by applicants/claimants for condonation of delay in filing the first appeals.
3. Learned advocate for respondent No.3/acquiring body strenuously opposed to condone the delay stating that delay is not properly explained.
4. For the reasons stated in the applications, applications are allowed. Delay condoned.

3

5. Appeals be registered.
6. Applicants/claimants shall not be entitled to claim interest for the period of delay.

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE