



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 10152 OF 2024

MANOHAR SURESH MALI

VERSUS

**THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY AND SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER AND OTHERS**

AND

927 WRIT PETITION NO. 10160 OF 2024

BHAIDAS BARKU LOHAR

VERSUS

**THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY AND SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER AND OTHERS**

AND

936 WRIT PETITION NO. 10180 OF 2024

NAMDEO BHOMA KEDAR

VERSUS

**THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY AND SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER AND OTHERS**

....

Mr. A. D. Sonar, Advocate h/f Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for the
Petitioners

Mr. M. M. Nerlikar, Mr. N. S. Tekale, AGPs for Respondent – State
Mrs Sudha Chintamani Advocate for Respondent No.1 in
WP/10152/2024 and WP/10160/2024

Mr R. B. Bhosale, Advocate for Respondent No.1 in
WP/10180/2024

....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 19.09.2024

PER COURT :-

1. All these petitioners claimed to be identically placed. All of them have tendered their representations to Respondent No.1 with a prayer that the representation may be considered and a decision may be taken whether the lands of the petitioners can be acquired.

2. In view of the above, **these Writ Petitions are disposed off.** Let Respondent No.1 deal with the representations of the petitioners, as are set out in the prayer clause (B). A decision be arrived at within 90 days.

3. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion about the grievance of the Petitioners and the competent authority is at liberty to take a decision on the contentions put forth by the Petitioners, on their own merits.

[Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

SMS