



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

950 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 817 OF 2024

PARAG RAVINDRA AARKHE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Mr. Vishwajeet R. Jain Advocate for Applicant.
Mr. S.D. Ghayal, A.P.P. for Respondent.
...

CORAM: S.G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 20th JULY, 2024

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and leaned APP for the State.
2. Applicant seeks bail in Crime No. 116 of 2022 registered with Jalgaon City Police Station, Jalgaon for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 120(B) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. By this successive bail application, the applicant is seeking bail contending that some of the witnesses have been examined.

The applicant is languishing in jail for more than one and half year. Speedy trial is not being conducted.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant tried to refer the evidence of the witnesses recorded before the trial Court. Since the trial is pending, the High Court should not disturb the trial by recording the findings on the evidence produced before the trial Court. So far as the blur pen drive is concerned, the applicant has right to bring this material before the trial Court. There is good progress in the trial. The trial may be concluded, subject to co-operation of the accused. There are no change in circumstances. Hence the Application stands dismissed.

[S.G. MEHARE, J.]