



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

926 WRIT PETITION NO.5779 OF 2024

MAHARASHTRA BAHUUDESHIYA SAMAJIK SANSTHA JALNA THROUGH
ITS PRESIDENT PARSHURAM NARAYANRAO YADAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

927 WRIT PETITION NO.5783 OF 2024

MAHARASHTRA BAHUUDESHIYA SAMAJIK SANSTHA JALNA THROUGH
ITS PRESIDENT PARSHURAM NARAYANRAO YADAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

928 WRIT PETITION NO.5784 OF 2024

MAHARASHTRA BAHUUDESHIYA SAMAJIK SANSTHA JALNA THROUGH
ITS PRESIDENT PARSHURAM NARAYANRAO YADAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

929 WRIT PETITION NO.5785 OF 2024

MAHARASHTRA BAHUUDESHIYA SAMAJIK SANSTHA JALNA THR ITS
PRESIDENT PARSHURAM NARAYANRAO YADAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...

934 WRIT PETITION NO.5797 OF 2024

MAHARASHTRA BAHUUDESHIYA SAMAJAIK SANSTHAN JALNA THR ITS
PRESIDENT PARSHURAM NARAYANRAO YADAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Mr. Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners in all the writ petitions.

Mr. P. S. Patil, AGP for the respondents – State in all the writ petitions.

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 19 JUNE 2024

ORDER [Per Shailesh P. Brahme, J.] :-

Heard both the sides.

2. Learned Advocate for the petitioners seeks leave to add the Hon'ble Minister as a party respondent. Leave is granted. The Hon'ble Minister be impleaded as party respondent No.5. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

3. In all these petitions, petitioners are seeking directions to the respondent Nos.1 and 5 to decide the proposals dated 29 November 2023 and 12 December 2023, which are pending and awaiting the decisions. Petitioner – Institution has submitted these proposals seeking increase in the intake of the students for implementing the scheme of integrated education of the students belonging to Nomadic Tribe (C).

4. The proposals submitted by the petitioner are pending before the respondent No.5. They were forwarded by respondent No.4 to the

respondent No.3 and in turn to respondent No.2 with due verification. Under these circumstances, as the proposals are not decided by respondent No.5, the ends of justice would be met by directing respondent No.5 to decide the proposal within stipulated period.

4. The respondent No.5 shall decide the proposals dated 29 November 2023 and 12 December 2023 within a period of four weeks on their own merits, in accordance with the policy and norms prevailing at the relevant time and the decisions be communicated to the petitioners.

5. Writ Petitions stand disposed of in the above terms.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

scm