



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONT. PETITION NO. 324 OF 2019
IN WP/1082/2017

KERBA MARUTI KASID
VERSUS
IQBAL SINGH CHAHAL AND ORS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Thorat R.D.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. V.K. Kotecha

...
CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 11.01.2024

PER COURT:

Heard both the sides for a while.

2. By the order dated 07.03.2017 in Writ Petition No.694/2017 and connected matters the respondent No.3 therein that is the Special Land Acquisition Officer was directed to consider and decide the petitioner's application for rental compensation. The affidavit-in-reply has been filed by the respondent No.4 – Land Acquisition Officer *inter alia* informing that the petitioner's application was considered on its own merits and have rejected it. He has also annexed a copy of the order (Exhibit R-8).

3. Needless to state that the petitioner will have to challenge the order independently. Nothing survives. The contempt petition is dispose of.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)