



:: 1 ::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14156 OF 2018 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.14638 OF 2012

Samb s/o Laxmanrao Shetkar ... **APPLICANT**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... **RESPONDENTS**

.....
Mr. V.B. Patil, Advocate for applicant
Mr. A.D. Wange, A.G.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. S.G. Sangle, Advocate for respondent No.2.
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9246 OF 2018 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.14709 OF 2012

Yusufoddin Jamiroddin Kazi ... **APPLICANT**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... **RESPONDENTS**

.....
Mr. V.B. Patil, Advocate for applicant
Mr. A.D. Wange, A.G.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. S.G. Sangle, Advocate for respondent No.2.
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14157 OF 2018 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.14697 OF 2012

Manmath Madhavrao Wadkar ... **APPLICANT**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... **RESPONDENTS**

.....
Mr. V.B. Patil, Advocate for applicant
Mr. A.D. Wange, A.G.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. S.G. Sangle, Advocate for respondent No.2.
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14158 OF 2018 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.14683 OF 2012

Motiram Shivling Wadkar ... **APPLICANT**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... **RESPONDENTS**

.....
Mr. V.B. Patil, Advocate for applicant
Mr. A.D. Wange, A.G.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. S.G. Sangle, Advocate for respondent No.2.
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14159 OF 2018 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.14687 OF 2012

Ganesh Manmath Wadkar ... **APPLICANT**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... **RESPONDENTS**

.....
Mr. V.B. Patil, Advocate for applicant
Mr. A.D. Wange, A.G.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. S.G. Sangle, Advocate for respondent No.2.
.....

**CORAM : R.G. AVACHAT AND
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.**

DATE : 28th JUNE, 2024

ORDER :

Heard learned Advocate Mr. Patil for the applicants.
Learned A.G.P. waives service for respondent No.1 State and
learned Advocate Mr. Sangle waives service for respondent
No.2.

2. The applicants have preferred first appeals against
the judgment and order/ award dated 16/12/2011, passed by
the Reference Court. Since there is delay of 06 days in filing
each appeal, these applications are preferred for condonation
of delay. The applicants have stated the reasons for the delay
in paragraph No.5 of the applications, which are as follows :-

“The applicants say that, they have forwarded the
necessary documents to the Advocate within time
but due to some family function of the Advocate
and thereafter because of the death of the uncle of
the Advocate on 28/05/2012, it was not possible
for the Advocate to file the appeal within the
prescribed time of limitation.”

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No.2 fairly submits that this Court can consider the applications. Learned A.G.P. submits that, appropriate orders be passed.

4. The appeals arise out of acquisition proceedings. For the reasons given in the application, we condone the delay. The Civil applications are disposed of.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.)

(R.G. AVACHAT, J.)

fmp/-