



{1}

12.odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11877 OF 2023 IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 682 OF 2016 WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13203 OF 2016**

Vishwambhar Narhari Patil
(died) through L. Rs & others

Applicants

Versus

Ganpat Laxman Chavan

Respondent

Mr. P. D. Dadpe, advocate for the Applicants
Mr. Abhishek D. Chapule, advocate holding for Mr. N. P. Patil
Jamalpurkar, advocate for the appellant in Second Appeal-
Respondent in CA.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 12th February, 2024

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The applicants, who are the legal representatives of deceased sole respondent, seek permission to bring themselves on record by condoning the delay of 1848 days.

Learned Counsel Mr. Chapule, holding for Mr. Jamalpurkar, advocate for the present respondent, who is appellant in the Second Appeal, though sought for supply of

necessary copies, but in fact, it was the duty of the appellant to bring on record legal representatives of original respondent – Vishwambhar.

Therefore, considering this aspect, Civil Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause “B” and “C”. The appellant is directed to carry out the amendment within stipulated period.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

SECOND APPEAL NO. 682 OF 2016 WITH

Vishwambhar Narhari Patil
(died) through L. Rs & others Appellants

Versus

Ganpat Laxman Chavan Respondent

Mr. Abhishek D. Chapule, advocate holding for Mr. N. P. Patil
Jamalpurkar, advocate for the Appellants
Mr. P. D. Dadpe, advocate for the Respondent

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 12th February, 2024

PC :

Stand over to 26.02.2024.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb