



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

10 WRIT PETITION NO. 4668 of 2024

Sayyad Shamim Sayyad NajirPetitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & othersRespondents

.....

Mr. S. G. Munde, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. B. Narwade, AGP for the State.

Mr. V. M. Vibhute, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
R. M. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 6th MAY, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. The Petitioner claims to have undergone Angioplasty. We have already concluded in other proceedings, that Angioplasty is not akin to an Open Heart Bypass Surgery. The Petitioner prays that his representation dated 16.04.2024, be considered by the Zilla Parishad.

2. The learned Advocate for the Zilla Parishad submits that without giving any assurance, the Petitioner's representation would be considered strictly in accordance with the rules and policies applicable and in the light of the Government Resolution dated 27.02.2017.

3. In view of the above, **this Writ Petition is disposed off.**
4. Let the Zilla Parishad consider the representation in accordance with the said Government Resolution on its own merits. We have not expressed any opinion about the representation.

(R. M. JOSHI)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE

dyb