



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10579 OF 2024
IN AOST/12100/2024**

SAU. SHALINI MAYUR BHATIA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
MUKESHCHANDRA @ MUKESH RAMDAS BHATIA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Malte Uday S., Advocate for Applicants
Mr. Sanket Kulkarni & Mr. T. K. Sant, Advocate for Respondent
Nos.1 & 2
Mr. Girish V. Wani, Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 01/10/2024.

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The application is filed for condonation of delay of 21 days in filing appeal from order against the order dated 03/01/2024 passed by the learned District Judge-4, Jalgaon. The learned counsel for the respondent strongly opposed the application on the ground of maintainability by submitting that only writ petition is the remedy against the impugned order dated 03/01/2024. However, for that purpose the report of Registrar (Judicial) can be called. But at this juncture, considering the short period of delay, the same stands condoned.

3. The office to register the appeal subject to the aforesaid objection of maintainability and also the objections, if any.
4. Application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

VS Maind/-