

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**933 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6919 OF 2023
IN SAST/12447/2023**

ANANT KARBHARI PANGAVHANE
VERSUS
BABURAO WAMAN KADAM

...
Ms. Mhase Madhaveswari S., Advocate for Applicant
Mr. Wagh Shrinivas S. Advocate for Respondent No.1
....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 18/07/2024.

P. C. :

1. Leave to mention the delay period in prayer clause-B.
2. Heard rival submissions.
3. The applicants are seeking permission to condone the delay of 1139 days in filing second appeal. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 by filing affidavit in reply, strongly opposed the application on the ground that there are concurrent findings of both learned courts below. However, at this juncture the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause-B subject to cost of Rs.2000/- to be paid to the Registry of this court for

utilization of Dispensary, High Court, Aurangabad within two weeks.

4. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

VS Maind/-