



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2231 OF 2024**

Chhatrapati Foundation Ashti  
Promoted Phoenix International  
English School and others .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Shashikant D. Shekade, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.  
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 4 to 7.  
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 8.

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 3418 OF 2024**

Shri Gajanan Multipurpose Charitable  
Trust Through its President and another .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 3426 OF 2024**

Rural Education Development Center  
Balgaon Kaij, Through its Secretary  
and another .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 2.  
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4.  
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 5.

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 3635 OF 2024**

Shri Chintamani Education Trust of  
Parli Vajjnath through its President  
and another .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.  
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 4.  
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 5.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 4315 OF 2024**

Ramrao Naik Bahu Uddheshiya Seva  
Bhavi Sanstha through its Secretary .. Petitioner  
**Versus**  
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioner.  
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 1.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.  
Shri Anup R. Nikam, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 4380 OF 2024**

Rajmata Jijau Gramvikas Pratishthan  
Dudhodi through its Secretary  
Devidas Kisan Godase Patil and others .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
Shri R. R. Bangar, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No. 1.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.  
Shri Satish B. Parnere, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

**WITH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 9984 OF 2022**

Purna-Kaveri Shikshan Sanstha  
through its President and another .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 3.  
Shri S. W. Munde, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No. 2.  
Mrs. V. A. Shinde/More, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 9985 OF 2022**

Purna-Kaveri Shikshan Sanstha  
through its President and another .. Petitioners  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 3.  
Shri S. W. Munde, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No. 2.  
Mrs. V. A. Shinde/More, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.  
DATE : 21 JUNE, 2024.**

**FINAL ORDER :**

. In all these petitions by the individual institutions common grievance has been made that inspite of having admitted students under the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, they have not been reimbursed the fees as per the statute.

2. The Principal seat, as also all the benches have been in similar fact and situation directing the authorities to consider the

individual proposals and to take appropriate decision within a stipulated time. We follow the course.

3. Writ petitions are disposed of with following directions :

4. The respondent/authorities shall undertake scrutiny of each of the petitioners' proposals independently on their own merits and decide the same as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four (04) weeks from today and shall disburse the money to them if they are found entitled to within a period of four (04) weeks thereafter.

**[ SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J. ]      [ MANGESH S. PATIL, J. ]**

*bsb/June 24*