



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

930 WRIT PETITION NO. 4812 OF 2024

MOHAN NANA ALHAT

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE
DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND OTHERS

...

AND

934 WRIT PETITION NO. 4818 OF 2024

ASHOK SURYABHAN GAIKWAD AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE
DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND OTHERS

...

AND

937 WRIT PETITION NO. 4821 OF 2024

SULABAI KISHAN SONWANE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE
DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND OTHERS

...

Shri Ganpat N. Chincholkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri S.K. Tambe, Shri S.B. Narwade and Shri R.K. Ingole, AGPs
for the Respondents/State in the respective petitions.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

R.M. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE :- 07th May, 2024

Per Court :-

1. All these Petitioners are identically placed. All of them have tendered the representations seeking regularization of their encroachments.

2. Needless to state, after the decision in ***Jagpal Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, (2011) 11 SCC 396*** and the decision of the Division Bench of this Court in Suo-moto Public Interest Litigation No.02/2022 (***High Court on it's own motion Vs. the State of Maharashtra and others***), we would not be issuing directions to the State Government with regard to prayers for regularization of encroachment.

3. Since the Petitioners have tendered the representations, which are pending for quite sometime with the State Government, taking into account the law laid down in ***Jagpal Singh (supra)*** and the order of this Court in Suo Moto PIL No.2/2022 (supra), let the representations be dealt with within a period of 90 (ninety) days from today. We make it clear that we have not made any observation as regards whether, the representations should be considered favourably.

4. With the above observation, **all these Writ Petitions are disposed off.**