



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**48 CONT. PETITION NO. 399 OF 2024
IN WP/9414/2022**

**VASANTRAO NAIK BHAU UDDEESHIYA SHIKSHAN PRASARAK
MANDAL THR DHONDIRAM HARISING PAWAR**

VERSUS

**SHRI P VELRASU SECRETARY SOCIAL JUSTICE AND SPECIAL
ASSISTANCE DEPARTMENT MANTRALAYA**

AND

**49 CONT. PETITION NO. 400 OF 2024
IN WP/9378/2022**

DILIP VISHWANATH MUDKANNA

VERSUS

P VELRASU

....

Mr. K. P. Rodge, Advocate for Petitioners

Mr. M. M. Nerlikar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in
CP/399/2024

Mr. S. R. Yadav-Lonikar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in
CP/400/2024

....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 09.07.2024

PER COURT :-

1. The learned AGPs for the Respondents places on record a copy of the order dated 14.06.2024, passed by the

concerned authorities, by which, the proposal of the Petitioners has been rejected. However, we find from our order dated 23.09.2022, that we had directed the authorities to decide the proposal, on or before 31.03.2023.

2. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners are not interested in seeking any order of punishment, to be meted out to the contemner. Apparently, there is a disobedience. Since the proposals were to be decided, on or before 31.03.2023 and have been decided after 15 months, the damage caused to the management is irreparable. However, though the management desires to show compassion towards the contemnners, it prays that the contemnners may be cautioned that henceforth, the orders of this Court should be implemented within the timeline or that they should move this Court for extension.

3. We find the contention of the learned Advocate to be fair and appropriate. The Respondents State Authorities are obliged to obey our orders. If they are short of time, they are under an obligation to move an application for seeking extension of time. We hope that this would be scrupulously followed, lest, in some given

case, we may have to pass an unpleasant order of imposing punishment on the contemnor.

4. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner submits that these Petitions may be disposed off as the Petitioner desires to challenge both the adverse orders dated 14.06.2024, by filing a Writ Petition.

5. The learned AGP, on instructions, tenders an an oral apology on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

6. In view of the above, **both the Contempt Petitions are disposed off.**

[Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

SMS