



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4382 OF 2024

Gramin Vikas Pratishthan Shirasgaon
Through its President and others .. Petitioners
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri R. B. Bagul, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No. 1.
Shri K. N. Lokhande, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.
Shri Satish B. Parnere, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

**AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 4722 OF 2024**

Rashtrasanth Bhauudeshiya Sevabhavi
Sanstha Through its President
Somnath Bappasaheb Kale and others .. Petitioners
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 1.
Mrs. Kalplata B. Patil Bharaswadkar, A.G.P. for the Respondent
Nos. 2 to 4.
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

**AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 5933 OF 2024**

Siddeshwar English School,
Through its Head Master
Ramdas Manohar Ware .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Kishor D. Khade, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S. P. Joshi, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 4228 OF 2024

Rashtramata Jijau Sevabhavi Sanstha
Sirsala Through its President and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 1.
Shri D. R. Korde, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 4234 OF 2024

Maitree Bahuuddeshiya Sevabhavi
Sanstha Wakhari Through its President
and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Pokale, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri A. G. Talhar, D.S.G.I. for the Respondent No. 1.
Shri P. P. Dawalkar, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.
Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.
DATE : 21 JUNE, 2024.

FINAL ORDER :

. In all these petitions by the individual institutions common grievance has been made that inspite of having admitted students under the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, they have not been reimbursed the fees as per the statute.

2. The Principal seat, as also all the benches have been in similar fact and situation directing the authorities to consider the individual proposals and to take appropriate decision within a stipulated time. We follow the course.

3. Writ petitions are disposed of with following directions :

4. The respondent/authorities shall undertake scrutiny of each of the petitioners' proposals independently on their own merits and decide the same as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four (04) weeks from today and shall disburse the money to them if they are found entitled to within a period of four (04) weeks thereafter.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.] [MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

bsb/June 24