



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4134 OF 2024

KATHOBA DEO SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL THROUGH
ITS PRESIDENT ROHIDAS SHANKAR RATHOD

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Yogesh B. Bolkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. K. B. Patil Bharaswadkar, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATED : 22nd APRIL, 2024.

P.C.

1. The learned Advocate for the petitioner after making submissions submits that he is at present restricting the petition to prayer Clause (C), which reads thus:

“By way of appropriate writ, order or directions in the like nature, this Hon'ble High Court may kindly direct the respondent No. 4 Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare Office, Nandurbar, Tq. and District Nandurbar, to take expeditious decision on the proposal dated 06/12/2017 (08/12/2017) submitted by the petitioner, in view of the Government Resolution dated 23/10/2013 and as per Chapter 3 of the Secondary School Code.”

2. Heard the learned A.G.P.

3. Taking into consideration the prayer Clause (C), it appears that he has to examine the proposal and forward it to respondent no.3, who would then forward it to respondent no.1. We dispose of the petition by directing respondent no.4 to examine and forward the proposal dated 06.12.2017 submitted by the

petitioner within a period of three weeks. The respondent no.3 to complete the remarks or verification with him on the said proposal within a further period of two weeks and forward it to respondent no.1. The respondent no.1 to decide such proposal after it is forwarded by respondent no.3 within a period of two months thereafter.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
JUDGE

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE