



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

43 WRIT PETITION NO. 3592 OF 2024

CHANDRAKALA KONDIRAM GAIKWAD

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

....

Mr P. P. Khandagale Patil, Advocate for Petitioner;

Mr D. R. Korade, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1

Ms N. V. Mirajkar, Advocate h/f Ms M. V. Narwade, Advocate for
Respondent Nos.2 and 3

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

AND

R. M. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : 8th April, 2024

PER COURT:

1. The Petitioner prays that, her representation dated 18/03/2024, be decided within a time frame. This Writ Petition is filed on 26/03/2024. We, therefore, do not find that Respondent No.2/Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Ahmednagar, could be stated to have committed any delay in deciding the representation of the Petitioner. A Writ of Mandamus praying for a command to a Statutory Authority to decide an application, can only be issued when the Court is convinced that the said Statutory

(2)

Authority has delayed the matter and is not taking any decision.

This Writ Petition is, therefore, disposed off.

2. The learned Advocate for the Respondent/Zilla Parishad submits that the representation of the Petitioner will be decided within 30 days. The said statement is recorded.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk