



{1}

925 sr.no..odt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3993 OF 2024

SAMATA NAGARI SAHAKARI PATSANSTHA MARYADIT
KOPARGAON THROUGH ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

....Petitioner

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

.....
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Kute Rajendra L.
AGP for Respondents: Mrs. M.N. Ghanekar.

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.

DATE : 2ND MAY, 2024.

P.C. :-

Heard learned advocate for the petitioner and learned AGP
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner places on record
judgment and order dated 5.4.2024 passed by the respondent No.3 for
which, in fact, the prayer clause (A) was made in the petition. Therefore,
he states that it does not survive.

3. In view of the said decision, consequences would follow and
therefore, said decision is taken on record by marking Exh.X, Petition
therefore stands disposed of.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]
grt/-

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J]