



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

919 WRIT PETITION NO. 4079 OF 2024

MS NEW MAHARANA CONSTRUCTION THROUGH ITS PARTNERS
JEEVANSING KESHAVRAO KACHAVE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...

Mr. P. S. Anerao, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. J. Salgare, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 – State.

Mr. S. R. Yadav – Lonikar, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 to 5.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 19th APRIL, 2024.

ORDER :-

. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner, learned AGP for respondent Nos.1 and 2 – State and learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos.3 to 5 submits that the reason for cancellation of the E-tender was the complaint made by the Grampanchayat, which is annexed at Exhibit-B. He submits that since Grampanchayat itself can carry out the works up to Rs.15,00,000/- and the value of the E-tender was Rs.10,00,000/-, the work was allotted to the Grampanchayat and it has been completed. Grampanchayat has also submitted the bills.

3. Taking into consideration the said statement, it appears that the writ petition has become infructuous and, therefore, stands disposed of.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm